

**KIRKLEES COUNCIL**  
**LIST OF PLANNING APPLICATIONS DECIDED BY**  
**PLANNING SUB-COMMITTEE (HEAVY WOOLLEN AREA)**  
**01 SEPTEMBER 2016**

APPLICATION NO.	DESCRIPTION, LOCATION OF PROPOSAL AND DECISION
2015/91005	<p data-bbox="528 215 1422 360">Y Mulla - Change of use from warehouse to a mixed use comprising warehouse, food processing, cash and carry and specialist retail foodstore and formation of car park - Wellington Mills, 7, Purlwell Lane, Batley</p> <p data-bbox="528 398 1422 584">DEFERRED (TO PROVIDE THE APPLICANTS AN OPPORTUNITY TO FURTHER DEVELOP A TRANSPORT AND SERVICING MANAGEMENT PLAN, TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE SUB COMMITTEE AT A FUTURE MEETING).</p> <p data-bbox="528 622 1422 696">A RECORDED VOTE WAS TAKEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 42(5) AS FOLLOWS;</p> <p data-bbox="528 734 1422 842">FOR: Councillors Akhtar, Bellamy, Dad, Grainger-Mead, Kane, Lawson, Pervaiz, A Pinnock, Scott, Smith, K Taylor and G Turner (12 votes)</p> <p data-bbox="528 880 1066 913">AGAINST: Councillor Sokhal (1 vote)</p>
2016/91054	<p data-bbox="528 952 1422 1025">Mr Dhesi - Erection of single storey rear and first floor side extensions - The Orchard, Far Common Road, Mirfield</p> <p data-bbox="528 1064 1422 1317">CONDITIONAL FULL PERMISSION – CONTRARY TO OFFICER RECOMMENDATION (THE SUB-COMMITTEE CONSIDERED THAT THE DESIGN AND APPEARANCE OF THE FIRST FLOOR EXTENSION WOULD NOT ADVERSLY IMPACT ON THE VISUAL AMENITY OF THE HOST DWELLING AND WAS IN-KEEPING WITH SURROUNDING BUIDINGS).</p> <p data-bbox="528 1355 1422 1429">A RECORDED VOTE WAS TAKEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 42(5) AS FOLLOWS;</p> <p data-bbox="528 1467 1422 1574">FOR: Councillors Akhtar, Bellamy, Dad, Grainger-Mead, Lawson, Pervaiz, A Pinnock Scott, Smith, Sokhal, K Taylor and G Turner (12 votes)</p> <p data-bbox="528 1612 1034 1650">AGAINST: Councillor Kane (1 vote)</p>
2016/92102	<p data-bbox="528 1688 1422 1762">Mr M Mulla - Erection of single storey side and rear extensions (within a Conservation Area) - 4, Linefield Road, Batley</p> <p data-bbox="528 1800 1422 2085">REFUSAL – The proposal, by virtue of the design and scale of the side extensions would result in unduly dominant extensions on the host dwelling. This would impact adversely upon the visual amenity of both the host dwelling and the streetscene which currently has a uniform layout along Linefield Road. Furthermore, the erection of the side extensions would create a detrimental terracing effect, resulting in development extending across the full width of the application site which would neither</p>

APPLICATION NO.	DESCRIPTION, LOCATION OF PROPOSAL AND DECISION
2016/92102 cont...	<p data-bbox="523 219 1445 439">preserve nor enhance the character of the Upper Batley Conservation Area. To approve the proposals would be contrary to Policies BE1, BE2, BE5 and BE14 of the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan and the Upper Batley Conservation Area Appraisal as well as the aims of chapters 7 and 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework.</p> <p data-bbox="523 477 1412 546">A RECORDED VOTE WAS TAKEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 42(5) AS FOLLOWS;</p> <p data-bbox="523 584 1374 654">FOR: Councillors Bellamy, Grainger-Mead, Kane, Lawson, A Pinnock, Scott, Sokhal, K Taylor and G Turner (9 votes)</p> <p data-bbox="523 692 815 725">AGAINST: (0 votes)</p> <p data-bbox="523 763 1347 797">ABSTAINED: Councillors Akhtar, Dad, Pervaiz and Smith</p>
2016/92276	<p data-bbox="523 840 1385 909">Mr I Hussain - Erection of single storey rear extension - 258, Headfield Road, Thornhill Lees, Dewsbury.</p> <p data-bbox="523 947 708 981">REFUSAL –</p> <ol data-bbox="523 1019 1453 1536" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="523 1019 1453 1238">1. The single storey rear extension, by reason of the excessive projection, scale and massing, would result in an overbearing and oppressive relationship being formed to the residential amenity of the occupants of the adjoining property, no. 260 Headfield Road. This is contrary to Policies D2, BE1, and BE14 of the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan.</li> <li data-bbox="523 1245 1453 1536">2. The proposed extension, by reason of its scale when viewed in addition to the existing extensions to the original dwellinghouse, would result in the overdevelopment of the application site which would not represent good design and would be detrimental with regards to visual amenity. To permit this extension would be contrary to Policies D2, BE1 and BE14 of the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan and chapter 7 of the National Planning Policy Framework.</li> </ol> <p data-bbox="523 1574 1412 1644">A RECORDED VOTE WAS TAKEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 42(5) AS FOLLOWS;</p> <p data-bbox="523 1682 1453 1794">FOR: Councillors Akhtar, Bellamy, Grainger-Mead, Kane, Lawson, A Pinnock, Scott, Smith, Sokhal, K Taylor and G Turner (11 votes)</p> <p data-bbox="523 1832 1238 1865">AGAINST: Councillors Dad and Pervaiz (2 votes)</p>